Atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome (aHUS) is an uncommon disease which is characterized with Coombs negative hemolytic anemia, thrombocytopenia and acute kidney injury. Dysregulation of the alternative complement pathway (ACP) plays a major role in its pathogenesis. Pathogenic variations in genes encoding complement factor H (CFH), complement factor I (CFI), complement factor B
Plasma based therapy (PT) was the mainstay of the treatment for many years. This therapy provides normal complement proteins through plasma infusion (PI) or removes mutant proteins or autoantibodies through plasma exchange (PE). The efficacy of PT is mainly based on expert consensus, anecdotal reports or retrospective studies.\textsuperscript{3,6,7} Although eculizumab, a monoclonal antibody for terminal complement inhibition, has been suggested as a first line therapy for the management of aHUS in recent years, the main limitations of eculizumab are its cost and unavailability in some countries. Therefore, studies are needed to offer alternative approaches. One of them would be PT and therefore place and efficacy of this approach in aHUS patients at the acute stage of the disease should be revisited especially in countries where resources are limited and access to eculizumab is difficult.\textsuperscript{3,7}

In the present study, we aimed to evaluate clinical features, response to treatment and outcome of patients with aHUS associated with genetic abnormalities who had been treated with PT alone.

Material and Methods

Patients

In November 2013, the Turkish aHUS registry was established with the participation of 26 pediatric nephrology centers in Turkey to collect information on the demographic, clinical, laboratory and genetic features of pediatric aHUS patients. Management strategies, prognosis and drug safety were also recorded. The registry included a prospective collection of pediatric aHUS patients (i.e. those who are less than 18 years at the time of disease onset) and was updated every 3 months in terms of treatment, complications and outcome.\textsuperscript{8}

Diagnosis of aHUS was based on Coombs negative microangiopathic hemolytic anemia, thrombocytopenia and acute renal failure. Hemolytic anemia was defined as a level of hemoglobin (Hb) less than 10 g/dl and a presence of schistocytes on peripheral smear. Thrombocytopenia was defined as a platelet count of less than 150,000/mm\textsuperscript{3}. Patients who have Shiga toxin producing E. coli (STEC) infection or other specific infectious diseases and patients with co-existing diseases or drug-related HUS were excluded from the registry. ADAMTS13 activity was screened in all patients and 10% and above activity was considered to be normal. Serum creatinine was measured using the Jaffe method and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated using the new Schwartz formula.\textsuperscript{9} Proteinuria was defined as $\geq 1+$ by urine dipstick test and/or a spot urine protein/creatinine ratio $\geq 0.5$ mg/mg in children aged 6-24 months and $\geq 0.2$ mg/mg in children older than 24 months of age.\textsuperscript{10} Oliguria was defined as urine output $<0.5$ ml/kg/h or $<500$ ml/day/1.73m\textsuperscript{2} after immediate neonatal period. Chronic kidney disease (CKD) was defined and staged according to the guidelines of KDOQI (Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative.).\textsuperscript{11}

Renal remission was defined as having an eGFR $>90$ ml/min./1.73m\textsuperscript{2}. Hematological remission was defined as having a hemoglobin level of more than 10 g/dl without hemolysis, a platelet count of more than 150,000/mm\textsuperscript{3}, and a normal lactate dehydrogenase level ($<450$ U/L). Complete remission was defined as having both hematological and renal remission. Renal failure with complete hematological recovery was considered as partial remission. The presence of proteinuria and/or hypertension and/or eGFR $\leq 89$ ml/min./1.73m\textsuperscript{2} for more than a duration of 3 months was defined as renal sequelae.

Genetic analysis

For those patients and/or parents who gave informed consent for genetic screening,
mutational analyses via Sanger sequencing for CFH, CFI, MCP, CFB, C3, DGKE and CHFR5 were carried out at the Nephrogenetics Laboratory of Hacettepe University. CFHR1-3 deletion was evaluated via multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) analysis.

Anti-complement factor H autoantibody was searched using the CFH IgG ELISA Kit (Abnova™), according to the manufacturer’s recommendations (detection limit 0.6 AU/mL).

The Institutional Ethics Committee of Hacettepe University approved the study on May 2011 (FON10/03-22). Written informed consent was obtained from the parents of each patient.

Statistical analysis
Data were analyzed by using SPSS v.21 (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA). Demographics and clinical data were evaluated with descriptive statistical analysis methods. The mean, median, standard deviation and interquartile range (IQR) were calculated for the numeric variables.

Results
Patient characteristics
Seven patients (5 males, 2 females) with a defined underlying genetic abnormality were included in the study (Table I). Five patients had variations in complement regulatory genes [CFB (n=2), CFH (n=1), CD46 (MCP) (n=1), C3 (n=1)], one patient had a DGKE variation and one patient had anti-CFH antibody associated with a homozygous CFHR1-3 deletion. The median age was 6.7 years (IQR 0.7-7.8). Except for patients #2, #5 and #6, the remaining were diagnosed before the availability of eculizumab in Turkey. None of the patients had a family history of aHUS. Three had parental consanguinity. Diarrhea was not present before the onset of the symptoms. At the time of diagnosis, eGFR was less than 90 ml/min./1.73m² in all patients. Two patients with CFB variation and one with MCP variation had a normal urine output. Patient #7 (with anti-CFH antibody/CFHR1-3 deletion) had neurological involvement characterized by seizures during follow-up.

All patients had hypocomplementemia and all but patient #2 (with CFB variation) had varying degrees of proteinuria and hypertension at admission. Demographic variables, clinical and laboratory features, genetic results are summarized in Table I.

Treatment
PE or PI was started on the day of diagnosis in all patients (Table I). Patient #2 was diagnosed after eculizumab approval in Turkey; he was given eculizumab at the time of diagnosis but due to severe anaphylaxis the treatment had to be continued with PE. Overall, patients #2 (with CFB variation), patient #3 (with CFH variation) and patient #4 (with MCP variation) underwent PE with each session of 40-60 ml/kg plasma and four patients received PIs (10-20 ml/kg/day); two received 7 and two received 8 infusions. Peritoneal dialysis (PD) was started in patient #6 (with DGKE variation) and patient #7 (with CFH antibody/CFHR1-3 deletion) and hemodialysis was started in patient #3 (with CFH variation). Antihypertensive drugs were administered to all patients (Table I).

Outcome
Patient #5 (with C3 variation) was lost to follow-up after one year however at the time of the last visit, she had an eGFR of 158 ml/min./1.73m². Median follow up duration of the other six patients was 3.7 years (IQR 2.72-6.47). All patients were in hematological remission at the time of discharge. Patient #3 (with CFH variation) was discharged with hemodialysis and patient #7 (with anti-CFH antibody/CFHR1-3 deletion) was discharged with hemodialysis and patient #7 (with anti-CFH antibody/CFHR1-3 deletion) was followed up on PD. Patient #3 underwent kidney transplantation from a cadaveric donor two years after diagnosis. On the fifth year of kidney transplantation, she suffered from antibody mediated rejection due to incompliance to immunosuppressive medications. She was treated with plasmapheresis and intravenous immune globulin to treat this rejection episode.
Table I. Clinical and laboratory features at presentation, genetic results, treatment and outcomes of the patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genetic abnormality</td>
<td>CFB (Val42Ala; (Val1050Tyr; Het.)</td>
<td>CFB (Asp133Asn; (Asp179Gln; Het.)</td>
<td>CFH (Asn1050Tyr; Hom.)</td>
<td>MCP c.1976-6C&gt;T (splice site; Het.)</td>
<td>C3 (p.Ser1Metfs*2; Hom.)</td>
<td>DGKE (p.Ser1Metfs*2; antiCFH)</td>
<td>CFHR1-3 deletion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at diagnosis</td>
<td>7 mo.</td>
<td>8 yrs. 5 mo.</td>
<td>4 yrs. 8 mo.</td>
<td>7 yrs. 4 mo.</td>
<td>4 yrs. 4 mo.</td>
<td>5 mo.</td>
<td>4 yrs. 2 mo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consanguinity</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea at diagnosis</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URTI</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine output</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Oliguria</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Oliguria</td>
<td>Oliguria</td>
<td>Oliguria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR (ml/min./1.73 m²)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin (g/dl)</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets (×10³/µL)</td>
<td>85,000</td>
<td>43,000</td>
<td>79,000</td>
<td>51,000</td>
<td>46,000</td>
<td>96,000</td>
<td>60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH (U/L) (N&lt;450 U/L)</td>
<td>1,230</td>
<td>1,575</td>
<td>1,103</td>
<td>2,159</td>
<td>3,334</td>
<td>2,728</td>
<td>5,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypocomplementemia*</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteinuria</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma exchange</td>
<td>No (3 sessions)</td>
<td>Yes (6 sessions)</td>
<td>Yes (3 sessions)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma infusion (10 ml/kg)</td>
<td>Yes (8 times)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dialysis</td>
<td>No (HD)</td>
<td>Yes (HD)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes (PD)</td>
<td>Yes (PD)</td>
<td>Yes (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of follow up</td>
<td>10 yrs.7 mo.</td>
<td>4 yrs. 2 mo.</td>
<td>5 yrs. 2 mo.</td>
<td>3 yrs. 4 mo.</td>
<td>1 yr. 7 mo.</td>
<td>7 mo.</td>
<td>4 yrs. 2 mo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR at last visit (ml/min./1.73 m²)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension at last visit (current treatment)</td>
<td>Yes (perindopril)</td>
<td>Yes (enalapril)</td>
<td>Yes (propranolol, enalapril)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes (propranolol, amlodipine, enalapril)</td>
<td>Yes (enalapril)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteinuria at last visit</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Trace</td>
<td>Yes (1+)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes (3+)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRT at last visit</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Transplantation</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Transplantation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


(*Hypocomplementemia is defined as a serum C3 level <88 mg/dl)
and then was followed up with conservative therapies for CKD. Patient #7 (with anti-CFH antibody and CFHR1-3 deletion) underwent kidney transplantation seven years after diagnosis and he is in remission for two years. All but patient #3 had an eGFR > 90 ml/min./1.73 m$^2$. All but patients # 4 and #5 (with MCP and C3 variation, respectively) received antihypertensive treatment. Proteinuria was detected in patients #2, 3 and 6 (with CFB, CFH and DGKE variation, respectively). All patients were in hematological remission and never experienced aHUS episode again during the follow-up duration. Outcome of the patients are summarized in Table I.

Discussion

Plasma based therapies had been used for aHUS for approximately 40 years and their efficacies were demonstrated in thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura. Recently, it has been suggested that response to PT was in part related to the genetic background of the patient and the most favorable response to PT were reported in patients with anti-CFH antibody and MCP mutations both in short term and long term. Although short term results were acceptable in patients with CFH variations, the risk of end stage renal disease (ESRD) or death was reported as 70-80% at the end of one year. Patients with CFB and CFI variations were reported to be poor responders in these studies. After the introduction of complement blocking therapies, there was a sudden change in management that had been in use for 40 years. Complement blocking therapies are effective but also do bring significant risks that could be life-threatening including severe infections and allergic reactions. In addition, these drugs remain one of the most expensive drugs world-wide and there is no certain knowledge about how long they should be administered. There are still difficulties to reach these drugs or reimbursement in the healthcare systems in some countries. Therefore, alternative approaches are certainly needed and research on these approaches should be encouraged. This would open a new avenue into individualized treatment in patients with aHUS. In this context, we aimed to evaluate the outcome of seven patients diagnosed with aHUS who had been treated with plasma therapy alone in the present study.

In our study, one patient with CFHR1-3 deletion/anti CFH antibody and one with CFH variation developed ESRD. Both of them were diagnosed with aHUS before eculizumab was approved in Turkey. The patient with CFHR1-3 deletion/anti-CFH antibody was diagnosed with ESRD soon after the diagnosis and was followed up on PD, underwent kidney transplantation seven years after the first admission. Previous reports have suggested a favorable outcome with a 75% remission rate in patients with CFH antibodies who were treated with PT and additional immunsuppression. Recently, it has been reported that outcomes for patients with anti-CFH antibodies were marginally inferior to those without antibodies. Gurjar et al. have reported that some patients with CFHR1-3 deletion do not have anti-CFH antibodies which led them to suggest that homozygous CFHR1-3 deletion may also contribute to aHUS antibody-independent mechanisms. Our patient had a worse clinical course than expected which led us to speculate that the co-existence of CFHR1/3 deletion and anti-CFH antibody might have had an additional negative effect on the outcome of the disease. As to the patient with CFH variation with poor prognosis she never responded to PE and soon after the disease onset she developed ESRD and underwent kidney transplantation two years after diagnosis. Although PEs have been reported to be effective even in patients with severe renal impairment, the overall prognosis of CFH variations is not favorable. Davin et al. reported that progression to ESRD was associated with an elevated plasma creatinine level at presentation. In our patient with CFH variation, unfavorable prognosis despite duly and intensive PEs could be related to significantly impaired renal functions at admission. Therefore, we think that in both of these patients, complement blocking therapy
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(i.e. eculizumab) would also have been ineffective due to very low GFR at presentation even if we had had an opportunity to use it. This observation would confirm once again the fact that sustained low GFR at presentation should be considered a poor long-term prognostic factor in patients with aHUS.

It has been reported that DGKE-HUS patients present with a slowly progressing proteinuric nephropathy and 80% of the patients did not have ESRD at the end of 10 years. In that study, 29 out of 35 aHUS patients were treated with immunosuppression, eculizumab, or PTs at any time of disease course. Sixteen patients were treated with PT and acute improvement was attributed to this management in 10 of them. The authors suggested that the link between DGKE deficiency and the complement cascade was not yet clearly described so therapies targeting complement cascade might not be of benefit. As reported in most of the patients with DGKE-HUS in the literature, our patient with DGKE variation was diagnosed in the first year of his life. At the time of diagnosis, he had oliguria, hypertension and massive proteinuria. He received PI and underwent PD for 15 days. At his last follow up visit, 7 months after diagnosis he was hypertensive and had 3+ proteinuria and a eGFR of 90 ml/min./1.73 m².

CFB variations account for 1-4% of aHUS cases and have been reported to have a poor prognosis. In contrast to this report, two patients with CFB variation in our study had favorable outcomes. One of them (patient #2) was diagnosed after eculizumab approval in Turkey so he was given eculizumab. Because of severe anaphylaxis that developed during the first infusion, we had to switch treatment to PE. The other patient with CFB variation (patient #1) received only PI. Both patients had favorable long-term outcomes. Given the fact that CFB variation is a rare cause of aHUS, a clear genotype-phenotype correlation is not possible however it is also plausible that there might be additional modifying factors that would affect the prognosis in CFB-related aHUS.

C3 pathogenic variations that lead to aHUS are also rare and the prognosis in this group is poor with a reported rate of 60% for ESRD or death at the end of 1 year. In a recent report from Japan, the authors reported that C3 variations were the most frequent genetic abnormality with a rate of 31% in their cohort. They also reported a remission rate of 92% on the last follow up visit in these patients. In line with these findings, our patient with C3 variation also exhibited a favorable outcome with complete remission through PT alone.

MCP variations are related to a good prognosis despite frequent relapses. Our patient was treated with three sessions of PIs, he was discharged with renal and hematological remission and he was in complete remission at the last visit. Interestingly, Caprioli et al. have reported a remission rate of 91% in those patients with MCP variations who were treated by plasma and 100% who were not and they have concluded that this could be attributed to the fact of MCP, which is a membrane-bound protein. We think that management should be individualized in patients with MCP variations given the fact that some patients may manifest extensive microvascular thrombosis and severe hypertension and numerous cases would benefit from PTs.

In 2012, soon after approval by FDA, eculizumab was also available in Turkey. It is a promising life-long therapy for aHUS and the 2016 consensus report suggests that first-line and early treatment is effective and safe in children with aHUS for renal recovery. However, debates still exist for its lifelong administration. It is one of the most expensive drugs in the world; yearly treatment cost per adult patient based on the dosing regimen of administration is reported to be €327,600. There is no published data regarding its cost in children however as of today its yearly cost for a 30kg patient has been estimated as €323,000 in Turkey. Moreover, the risk of development of severe meningococcal infection, possibility of immune mediated drug reactions and severe anaphylaxis as developed in one of our patients...
cannot be underestimated.\textsuperscript{30,31} Therefore, the efficacy of alternative approaches in aHUS associated with specific complement variations should be explored. In this aspect, our study is of importance in terms of revisiting ancient and ancillary treatment options.

In conclusion, short- and long-term management of patients with aHUS should be tailored individually considering the patient’s clinical course, underlying genetic abnormality and current conditions of healthcare systems of countries. We also believe that in countries where eculizumab is difficult to attain or resources are limited; PTs should still be a reasonable alternative in aHUS patients. Although this is a small-sized study, our results indicate that in selected cases plasma based therapies should still be kept in mind for the management of aHUS and more research on the efficacy of these approaches should be promoted.
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